Examiner's Amendment

1.   An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or

additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR

1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee.

        Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with

Gerald Chan on 10/23/08.

        30(Currently Amended) The system of claim [22] 26, wherein means for verifying

trusted security logic further comprises means for verifying a module name.

        31(Currently Amended) The system of claim [22] 26, further comprising: means for

collecting one or more session parameters; means for comparing the one or more session

parameters against a set of trusted security parameters defined in a security function; and means

for returning a result indicating whether the one or more session parameters matches the set of

trusted security parameters.

        32(Currently Amended) The system of claim [22] 26, further comprising: means for

receiving information identifying the user; means for prompting the user for a password; means

for authenticating the user based on information stored in an application program; and means for

associating the user with a role

Reasons for Allowance

1.   In the previous office action, 3/14/08, stated that claims 9-12 were allowable for "trusted

security logic is contained in one or more frames of the call stack". The Applicant filed a request

for reconsideration on 6/16/08, and amended claims 1, 14, and 26 to contain the allowable

limitation, "trusted security logic is contained in one or more frames of the call stack". Claims 1-

6, 8-12, 14-19, 21, 26-32 are allowable for the following reasons listed below:

2.   Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

3.   Prior art fails to disclose or suggest, "trusted security logic is contained in one or more

frames of the call stack", an example of prior art that fails to disclose or suggest, "trusted security

logic is contained in one or more frames of the all stack is Bernstein. Bernstein discloses rather

than explicitly passing a session identifier from the client to the server with each server call,

Bernstein implicitly associates each object in the server with a session so that every server call

automatically runs with the session identifier of the object called. Eliminating the need to pass

session identification information results in a more efficient call and a simplified object interface.

Bernstein discloses when loading an object into memory, the newly loaded object is associated

with a session by a method on the session object itself or on another object that is already

associated with a session; and a shared cache of object states exists so that if there are two

objects representing the same persistent object only one copy of the state is required thereby

eliminating memory intensive duplicate copies of object states for the same object loaded into

memory by two different sessions; and each object is partitioned into two parts so that all of the

object's state is kept separately from its shell or header which simply identifies the object and

points to its state. Bernstein discloses the implicit session system with an object state cache is an

object oriented system having a client and a server and generating a session object by a function

call from the client to the server; establishing the session context as said session object for each

object subsequently called by the client for a first time subsequent to the step of generating; and

generating an object state in an object state cache pointed to by respective ones of objects

generated subsequent to the step of generating the session object. Bernstein fails to disclose or

suggest database privileges for the user if the trusted security logic is contained in one or more

frames of the call stack.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to JENISE E. JACKSON whose telephone number is (571)272-

3791. The examiner can normally be reached on Increased Flex time, but generally in the office

M-Fri(8-4:30)..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

October 22, 2008
/J. E. J./
Examiner, Art Unit 2439
/Kambiz Zand/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434